

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	TE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO		
00/754 022	01/03/2001		Matthew P. Scott	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/754,032				CIBT-P10-203	2036	
28120	7590 10/	06/2003		EXAMINER		
ROPES & G	RAY LLP					
ONE INTER	NATIONAL PLA	CE		BRANNOCK, MICHAEL T		
BOSTON, M	BOSTON, MA 02110-2624			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1646	10	
				DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	()	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/754,032	SCOTT ET AL.				
omee Modell Gummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Janet L. Andres	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 nod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication.				
20)[2]	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und Disposition of Claims	owance except for formal matter der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Evaminor				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	Son 27 CED 4 95(a)				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disar	Onroyed by the Examiner				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.	sproved by the Examiner.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	9(a) (d) ar (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	3. Free my and of 0.0.0. 9 11	3(a)-(u) 01 (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applie	cation No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	Ority documents have been read	cation No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not rece	eived.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pr 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes Attachment(s)	rovisional application has been	recoived				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  S. Patent and Trademark Office		nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ral Patent Application (PTO-152)				
OTOL 226 (Day, 04.04)	action Summary					

Art Unit: 1646

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-17 and 19, drawn to human patched and means of expression, classified in class 435, subclasses 69.1, 320.1, and 325, and class 536, subclass 23.5.
- II. Claims 1-17 and 19, drawn to mouse patched and means of expression, classified in class 435, subclasses 69.1, 320.1, and 325, and class 536, subclass 23.5.
- III. Claims 1, 3-17 and 19, drawn to mosquito patched and means of expression, classified in class 435, subclasses 69.1, 320.1, and 325, and class 536, subclass 23.5.
- IV. Claims 1, 3-17 and 19, drawn to butterfly patched and means of expression, classified in class 435, subclasses 69.1, 320.1, and 325, and class 536, subclass 23.5.
- V. Claims 1, 3-17 and 19, drawn to beetle patched and means of expression, classified in class 435, subclasses 69.1, 320.1, and 325, and class 536, subclass 23.5.
- VI. Claim 18, drawn to methods of generic engineering using patched, classified in class 435, subclass 455.
- VII. Claims 20 and 21, drawn to screening methods using human patched, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.

Art Unit: 1646

- VII. Claims 20 and 21, drawn to screening methods using mouse patched, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- VIII. Claims 20 and 21, drawn to screening methods using mosquito patched, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- IX. Claims 20 and 21, drawn to screening methods using butterfly patched, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- X. Claims 20 and 21, drawn to screening methods using beetle patched, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
- XI. Claim 22, drawn to antibodies against human patched, classified in class 530, subclass 388.1.
- XII. Claim 22, drawn to antibodies against human patched, classified in class 530, subclass 388.1.
- XIII. Claim 22, drawn to antibodies against human patched, classified in class 530, subclass 388.1.
- XIV. Claim 22, drawn to antibodies against human patched, classified in class 530, subclass 388.1.
- XV. Claim 22, drawn to antibodies against human patched, classified in class 530, subclass 388.1.

Claims are included in more than one group if they encompass more than one invention.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1646

The polynucleotides and cells of Groups I-V are distinct, each from the other, because they comprise different sequences with different structural and functional characteristics, requiring different searches.

- The polynucleotides of Groups I-V are distinct from the methods of Group VI because they have other uses, such as protein expression.
- The polynucleotides of Groups I-V are distinct from the methods of Groups VII-X because they have other uses, such as protein expression.
- The polynucleotides of Groups I-V are not related to the antibodies of Groups XI-XV.

  They differ structurally and functionally and cannot be used together or interchangeably.
- The methods of Group VI are not related to those of Groups VII-X. They require different method steps and have different goals and outcome measures.
- The methods of Group VI are not related to the antibodies of Groups XI-XV. The antibodies cannot be used in the methods.
- The methods of Groups VII-X are distinct, each from the other, because they require different reagents with different structural and functional characteristics, requiring different searches.
- The methods of Groups VII-X are distinct from the antibodies of Groups XI-XV because the antibodies can be identified in different ways, such as by Western blotting, and the methods can be used to identify other agents.

Art Unit: 1646

The antibodies of Groups XI-XV are distinct, each from the other, because they against different sequences with different structural and functional characteristics, requiring different searches.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches required for the different groups are different, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claim 1 link(s) inventions I-V. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 1. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet L. Andres whose telephone number is 703-305-0557. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on 703-308-6564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Janet L. Andres September 30, 2003

PATENT FLAMINER